The Political Consequences of Low Youth Participation in Contemporary Democracies

by

Daniela Širinić

Submitted to

Central European University

Department of Political Science

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Supervisor: Professor Gábor Tóka

Budapest, Hungary

2015

I, the undersigned [Daniela Širinić], candidate for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the Central European University Political Science, declare herewith that the present thesis is exclusively my own work, based on my research and only such external information as properly credited in notes and bibliography. I declare that no unidentified and illegitimate use was made of work of others, and no part the thesis infringes on any person's or institution's copyright. I also declare that no part the thesis has been submitted in this form to any other institution of higher education for an academic degree.

Budapest,	28 September 2015)		
			Signature	

© by Daniela Širinić, 2015 All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

Previous studies on political participation have provided unambiguous evidence that citizen involvement in established democracies is unequal. Non-voters are found to be mainly the poor, those coming from minority groups, the less educated, and younger members of the electorate (Verba and Nie, 1972; Verba et al., 1978, 1995; Gallego, 2007). If the structure of active participants is systematically biased towards certain political groups, the allocation of power can also be biased. Unequal participation can lead to unequal influences. This dissertation provides an empirical test of this proposition and asks whether inequalities in political representation follow from inequalities in political participation?

To answer this question I have focused on the group of citizens whose rates of participation are evidently unequal, but whose relationship to politics has not only spurred academic attention, but has also received a central spot on the political and media agendas in the majority of established democracies: young people. The study of the consequences of young people's unequal participation in the decision-making process is a perfect litmus test of the status of political equality in contemporary democracies. In addition, following the normative accounts of political representation, I focus on two components of representation and analyze the impact of young peoples participatory inequalities on their policy preference and interest representation.

The empirical analysis points to several important findings. In the light of the growing concern over young people's politics, the most important finding of this dissertation is that

age bias in turnout does not have negative consequences on the policy and interest representation of age groups. In fact, young people are on average closer to their representatives than adults, and the parties they support represent their views as much as the views of other age groups. In other words, young people's disengagement from politics does not have immediate consequences for their political representation.

I argue that it is possible that the effects of youth turnout bias on policy representation are not present, partly because unequal non-electoral participation works in favor of the policy representation of the young and partly because parties try to respond to inequalities in turnout by increasing their policy appeal to the young. However, while we should not be concerned about young people's policy representation, their interest representation is evidently unequal, and further studies are necessary to ascertain what kinds of factors create such inequalities in political outcomes.

Table of Contents

C	opyri	${f ght}$	ii
\mathbf{A}	bstra	net	iii
Li	${f st}$ of	Tables	vii
Li	${f st}$ of	Figures	ix
1	Intr	roduction	1
	1.1	Scope of the Study: Focus on Young Adults	4
	1.2	Two Components of Representation	8
	1.3	Unequal Participation and Political Representation	11
	1.4	Dissertation Outline	12
2	Une	equal Participation And Policy Preference Representation	16
	2.1	Introduction	16
	2.2	Theory and Expectations	18
	2.3	Concepts, Measures and Preliminary Analysis	23
	2.4	Model Specification and Results	33
	2.5	Sensitivity analysis	44
	2.6	Conclusions	48

3	Par	ty Appeal and Youth Turnout Inequalities	50
	3.1	Introduction	50
	3.2	Theory and Expectations	52
	3.3	Data and Measures	56
		3.3.1 Electoral System and Party System Permeability	62
	3.4	Model Specification and Results	65
	3.5	Conclusions	68
4	Age	e Differences in Voter-Party Linkages	70
	4.1	Introduction	70
	4.2	Niche and Mainstream Parties	71
		4.2.1 Age Differences in Partisan Identification	75
		4.2.2 Age Differences in Policy Representation	76
	4.3	Data and Measures	79
	4.4	Model Specification and Results	83
	4.5	Conclusions	99
5	Une	equal Participation and Interest Representation	102
	5.1	Introduction	102
	5.2	Defining and Measuring Interest Representation	104
	5.3	Theory and Expectations	109
	5.4	Data and Measurement	114
	5.5	Conclusions	127
6	Cor	nclusion	129
	6.1	Key findings from each chapter	130
	6.2	Limitations, Policy Implications and Further Research	134

A	\mathbf{List}	of datasets, countries and parties	139
	A.1	List of datasets	139
	A.2	List of parties	139
	A.3	List of countries and years	145
В	Sup	plementary models and results	147
	B.1	Detailed results for chapter 2	147
	B.2	Detailed results for chapter 3	149
Bi	bliog	graphy	150

List of Tables

2.1	Mixed model for policy preference representation	35
2.2	Mixed model for issue congruence: liberal policies	46
2.3	Mixed model for issue congruence: redistribution	47
3.1	Pooled linear regression models for party appeal	67
4.1	Mixed models for partisan identification	92
4.2	Mixed models for issue congruence: liberal policies	95
4.3	Mixed models for issue congruence: redistribution	97
5.1	Mixed models for interest representation	121
5.2	Relative importance models	126
A.1	List of countries and parties included in chapter 4	140
A.2	List of countries, survey and election years included in chapter $3 \ldots \ldots$	146
B.1	Models for partisanship, moderate voters and moderate parties	148
B.2	Pooled linear regression models for party appeal: additional analysis	149

List of Figures

2.1	Average ideological self-placement	28
2.2	Youth inequality in electoral participation (PIE)	30
2.3	Youth inequality in non-electoral participation (PINE)	33
2.4	The fraction of moderate respondents	37
2.5	Relationship between the propensity to select a moderate left-right position.	39
2.6	Marginal effects plot of being moderate on partisanship	41
2.7	Marginal effects of young on ideological congruence and PINE	42
2.8	Relationship between PIE and PINE	43
3.1	Trends in youth turnout inequality	57
3.2	Mean salience of New Politics issues	62
3.3	Weighted mean salience of New Politics issues	62
4.1	Dependent variables: partisanship and issue congruence	85
4.2	Differences on the post-materialism scale	86
4.3	Age differences in partisanship and party families	88
4.4	Age differences in congruence for liberal policies and party families	89
4.5	Age differences in congruence for redistribution policies and party families.	90
4.6	Marginal effects of 'young' on partisanship and niche vs. mainstream parties.	94

4.7	Marginal effects of 'young' on partisanship and non-centrist vs. moderate	
	parties	94
4.8	Marginal effects of 'young' on congruence in liberal policies	98
4.9	Marginal effects of 'young' on congruence for liberal policies and ideological	
	distinctiveness	99
4.10	Marginal effects of 'young' on congruence for liberal policies and emphasis	
	on non-economic issues.	99
5.1	The fraction of respondents with positive evaluations	116
5.2	Index of interest representation	118
5.3	Relationship between policy representation and interest representation	124